S.No.	Table of Contents	Page
	SESSION I	1
ROL	E OF JUDICIARY IN PROTECTION OF ENVIRONMENT AND WILD	LIFE
1.	Justice K.G. Balakrishnan, The Role of the Judiciary in Environmental Protection , D.P. Shrivastava Memorial Lecture, High Court of Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur – March 20, 2010	01
2.	Ole W. Pedersen, Environment principles and Environment Justice , 12 Envtl. L. Rev. 26 2010	13
3.	Justice Madan B. Lokur, Environmental Law- Its Developments and Jurisprudence, 2006, available at https://wwfin.awsassets.panda.org/downloads/green_law_lecturejuly_32006.pdf, last accessed on 12.11.2021	37
4.	MC Mehta, Growth of Environmental Jurisprudence in India , Environmental Justice, Governance and Law	67
5.	Furqan Ahmad, Origin And Growth Of Environmental Law In India , Journal of the Indian Law Institute , Vol. 43, No. 3 2001, pp. 358-387	77
6.	Justice Susan Glazebrook, Human Rights And The Environment , (2009) Victoria University of Wellington Law Review, 40(1), 293–350	107
7.	Prof. (Dr.) Arup Poddar, Indian Supreme Court on Precautionary Principle, The World Journal on Juristic Policy, March 2017	165
8.	Mahajan Niyati, Judicial Activism for Environment Protection in India , International Research Journal of Social Sciences, Vol. 4(4), 7-14, April (2015)	173
9.	Arindam Basu, Climate Change Litigation In India: Seeking A New Approach Through The Application Of Common Law Principles, Environmental Law & Practice Review, Vol.1, 2011.	181
10.	Geetanjoy Sahu, Implications of Indian Supreme Court's Innovations for Environmental Jurisprudence , 4/1 Law, Environment and Development Journal (2008), p. 375, available at <u>http://www.lead-journal.org/content/08375.pdf</u>	200

	Armin Rosencranz & Mukta Batra, The Supreme Court of India on Development and Environment from 2001 to 2017 , 6 Envtl. L. & Prac. Rev. 1 (2018).	222
12.	Judgments	
	➢ M.C Metha v. Kamal Nath and Others. (1997) 1 SCC 388	250
	Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996) 5 SCC 647	278
	 Indian Council for Enviro- Legal Action and others v. Union of India (1996) 3 SCC 212 	302
	M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (1987) 4 SCC 463	344
	Andhra Pradesh Pollution Control Board v. Prof. M.V. Nayudu, (2001) 2 SCC 62	364
	▶ Intellectuals Forum, Tirupathi v. State of A.P (2006) 3 SCC 549	389
	Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board v. Sri. C. Kenchappa and Ors. (2006) 6 SCC 371	421
	Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai v. Ankita Sinha & others 2021 SCC OnLine SC 897	443
	SESSION II	
6	SOCIAL CONTEXT JUDGING AND PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION	N
2		•
13.		467
	Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE	
	Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press,	
13.14.	Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court . Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44	467
13.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public 	467
13.14.	Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court . Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44	467
13.14.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public Interest Litigation in India in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 	467
13. 14. 15.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public Interest Litigation in India in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 112-133. 	467
13. 14. 15.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public Interest Litigation in India in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 112-133. Anuj Bhuwania, Conclusion: The Procedure is Political in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, ON POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS (2017) 112-133. 	467 485 503
13. 14. 15. 16.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public Interest Litigation in India in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 112-133. Anuj Bhuwania, Conclusion: The Procedure is Political in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 134-143 	467 485 503
13. 14. 15. 16.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public Interest Litigation in India in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 112-133. Anuj Bhuwania, Conclusion: The Procedure is Political in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 134-143 Judgments Shaikh Ansar Ahmad Md. Husain v. State of Maharashtra, 2021 SCC 	467 485 503 525
13. 14. 15. 16.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public Interest Litigation in India in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 112-133. Anuj Bhuwania, Conclusion: The Procedure is Political in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 134-143 Judgments > Shaikh Ansar Ahmad Md. Husain v. State of Maharashtra, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 867 	467 485 503 525 535
13. 14. 15. 16.	 Shyam Diwan, Public Interest Litigation in Choudhary et. al. (eds.), THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Oxford University Press, (2019) 662-679 Sarbani Sen, The 'Public Interest' in India: Contestation and Confrontation before the Supreme Court. Diogenes. 2013; 60 (3-4):27-44 Anuj Bhuwania, Good Judges, Bad Judges: Critical Discourses on Public Interest Litigation in India in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 112-133. Anuj Bhuwania, Conclusion: The Procedure is Political in COURTING THE PEOPLE: PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION IN POST-EMERGENCY INDIA, Cambridge University Press (2017) 134-143 Judgments Shaikh Ansar Ahmad Md. Husain v. State of Maharashtra, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 867 Ministry of Defence v. Babita Puniya, (2020) 7 SCC 469 	467 485 503 525 535 547

	➢ Balco Employees' Union (Regd.) v. Union of India, 2002 (2) SCC	735
	333➢ State of Uttaranchal v. Balwant Singh Chaufal and Ors., (2010) 3	786
	SCC 402	780
	➢ Gurpal Singh v. State of Punjab, (2005) 5 SCC 136	846
	B. Singh (Dr.) v. Union of India, (2004) 3 SCC 363	853
	➢ Janata Dal v. H.S. Chowdhary, (1992) 4 SCC 305	867
	SESSION III	
	LITIGATION DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC	
18.	Alok Prasanna Kumar, Pendency during the Pandemic, Economic &	
	Political Weekly, Vol LVI No 12 pp. 10&11.	926
19.	Jyoti Rattan and Vijay Rattan, The COVID-19 Crisis – the New Challenges	
	Before the Indian Justice and Court Administration System , (2021) 12(2)	928
	International Journal for Court Administration 11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.391	
20.	Upendra Baxi, Exodus Constitutionalism: Mass Migration in Covid-19 Lockdown Times in INDIA AND THE PANDEMIC: THE FIRST YEAR, Orient Blackswan Private Limited (2021) 113- 154.	942
21.	T. Sourdin, B. Li and D.M. McNamara, Court Innovations and Access to Justice in Times of Crisis , Health Policy and Technology 9 (2020) 447–453	959
22.	Judgments	
	Kush Kalra v. Union of India, (2021) 2 SCC 481	966
	 Gursimran Singh Narula v. Union of India, (2021) 1 SCC 152 	970
	 In Re. Guidelines for Court Functioning Through Video 	989
	Conferencing During Covid-19 Pandemic, (2021) 5 SCC 454	
	 In Re: Cognizance For Extension Of Limitation, Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 Of 2020 	991
	In Re: Distribution Of Essential Supplies And Services During Pandemic, Suo Moto Writ Petition (C) No. 3/2021	994
	Suo Motu Writ Petition (Suo Motu Writ Petition No 7492 Of 2020)	1058
	Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India, Writ Petition (Civil) No.546 Of 2020 Judgment dated August 18, 2020	1066
	In Re. Contagion of Covid 19 Virus in Children Protection Homes, 2020 SCC OnLine SC 354	1141
	▶ In Re. Contagion of Covid 19 Virus in Prisons, (2020) 5 SCC 313	1148
	→ Harsh Mander v. Union of India, 2020 SCC OnLine SC 376	1151
	Problems and Miseries of Migrant Labourers, In re., (2020) 7 SCC 181	1152
	National Alliance for People's Movements v. State of Maharashtra,	1169

	S. Kasi v. State through the Inspector of Police, 2020 SCC OnLine SC 529	1177					
	 In Re. Proper Treatment of Covid-19 Patients & Dignified Handling 	1188					
	of Dead Bodies in the Hospitals, (2020) 7 SCC 145	1100					
	Rishad Murtaza v. Union of India, (2020) 15 SCC 288	1195					
	▶ In Re. Closure of Mid-day Meal Scheme, (2020) 12 SCC 213	1196					
	SESSION IV						
	E-JUDICIARY: CHALLENGES & OPPORTUNITIES						
23.							
	Common Cause 5-12 (2016)	1198					
24.	Reiling, A. D. (Dory), Courts and Artificial Intelligence, (2020) 11(2)						
	International Journal for Court Administration 8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.	1206					
	<u>36745/ijca.343</u>						
25.							
	Intelligent Justice, 22 Stan. Tech. L. Rev. 242 (2019)	1216					
26.	Richard Susskind, Artificial Intelligence in ONLINE COURTS AND THE						
	FUTURE OF JUSTICE, Oxford University Press (2019) 263-275.	1264					
27.		1077					
	ethical Charter on the use of Artificial Intelligence in judicial systems and their environment, Adopted at the 31st plenary meeting of the CEPEJ	1277					
	(Strasbourg, 3-4 December 2018) (excerpt)						
	(Strasbourg, 5-4 December 2018) (excerpt)						
28.	Scherer, Maxi., Artificial Intelligence and Legal Decision-Making: The						
	Wide Open?, Journal of International Arbitration 36, no. 5 (2019): 539-574	1284					
29.	Richard Susskind, The Computer Judge in ONLINE COURTS AND THE						
2).	FUTURE OF JUSTICE, Oxford University Press (2019) 277-292.	1320					
		1320					
30.	Cybersecurity Basics for Courts, JTC Resource Bulletin, Adopted on 4						
	December 2019	1336					
31.	Brian J. McLaughlin, Cybersecurity: Protecting Court Data Assets,						
51.	Trends in State Courts 2018	1373					
		1375					
32.	Judgments						
	Swapnil Tripathi v. Supreme Court of India, (2018) 10 SCC 639	1379					
	Pradyuman Bisht v. Union of India, (2018) 15 SCC 433	1393					
	State of Maharashtra v. Praful B. Dasai, (2003) 4 SCC 601	1398					
	Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantayal (2020) 7	1415					
	SCC 1						